Endnotes

1 Documents reviewed included:

United States Government Accountability Office. Chemical Regulation: Approaches in the United States, Canada, and the European Union (GAO-06-217R). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 2005. [hereinafter, GAO, 2005].

Wilson MP, Chia D, Ehlers B. *Green Chemistry in California: A Framework for Leadership in Chemicals Policy and Innovation,* Special Report of the California Policy Research Center, University of California, to the California Senate Environmental Quality Committee and Assembly Committee on Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials. March, 2006 [hereinafter, "Cal. Report"] (Website: *http://coeh.berkeley.edu/news/06_wilson_policy.htm*) (PDF of full report: *http://coeh.berkeley.edu/docs/news/06_wilson_policy.pdf***)** Dr. Wilson presented information to the Task Force from this report in April 2007.

ToSCA is not the only federal statute concerned with chemical safety, but, with respect to chemicals in consumer products, it is the primary federal regulatory mechanism. Chemicals classified as pesticides are separately regulated under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) which, unlike ToSCA, requires testing, review, and registration of pesticides before they are marketed. Pharmaceuticals are also separately regulated under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, which also requires pre-market testing. Other federal and state laws that pertain to toxic chemicals are essentially "end-of-pipe" statutes that do not allow review of chemicals prior to their introduction into commerce, and regulate a relatively narrow range of chemicals. See Cal Report. p.20-21: The Consumer Product Safety Commission investigates and institutes enforcement actions against manufacturers of consumer products after reports of injuries or deaths related to those products.

At a total budget of $62 million, with 421 workers, it is one of the smallest federal agencies, though it regulates an industry that sells $1.4 trillion annually. The agency has the capacity to investigate only 10 to 15 percent of the reported injuries or deaths linked to consumer goods. Lipton, E. Safety Agency Faces Scrutiny Amid Changes. The New York Times, September 2, 2007.

2 Plater Z., Abrams, R, Goldfarb, R. Environmental Law and Policy: Nature, Law, and Society, 3rd Edition. New York: Aspen Publishers, 2004. [hereinafter "Plater"] p. 830.

3 GAO, 2005, p. 2; Cal. Report, p. 17.

4 Cal. Report, p. 17.

5 Plater, p. 837; see also GAO, 2005, p. 5, comparing Canada and EU law.

6 Cal. Report, p. 19.

7 Roe D., Pease, W. Toxic Ignorance. In: The Environmental Forum. May/June 1998; 28.

8 Cal. Report, p. 19.

9 Corrosion Proof Fittings v. USEPA. 947F. 2d 1201 (5th Cir. 1991); Cal. Report, p.18; Plater, p.840

10 Cal. Report, p. xiv.

11 Data derived from sales and distribution reports provided by pesticide manufacturers and distributors and commercial applicator summary reports provided to the Maine Board of Pesticides Control annually. *http://www.maine.gov/agriculture/pesticides/turf_bmps/index.htm#3*

12 Watson WA, et al, 2002 Annual Report of the American Association of Poison Control Centers Toxic Exposure Surveillance System. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine,* 2003, 21:353-421.

13 Landrigan, P. J. et at. 1998. Children's Health and the Environment: A New Agenda for Prevention Research. Environmental Health Perspectives Supplements Vol. 106 (S3): 893

14 Flower, K.B., J.A. Hoppin, C.F. Lynch, A. Blair, C. Knott, D.D. Shore and D. P. Sandler. 2004. Cancer risk and parental pesticide application in children of agricultural health study participants. Environmental Health Perspectives. Vol 112(5): 631-635.

15 Zahm, S. H. and M. H. Ward. 1998. Pesticides and Childhood Cancer. Environmental Health Perspectives Supplements Vol. 106 (S3): 893

16 Grandjean and Landrigan 2006. Developmental neurotoxicity of industrial chemicals. The Lancet Online November 8, 2006. *http://leftbrainrightbrain.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2006/11/chemicallist.pdf*

17 Alavanja, Michael C. R.,, et. al.. 2003. Use of agricultural pesticides and prostate cancer risk in the agricultural health study cohort. *Am J Epidemiol*.; 157:800-814

18 Wallinga, D. 2000. The emperor's clothes: why aren't chemicals tested for their impacts on the developing brain…why is this important? In: Croser and Seiter (eds), Pollution, Toxic Chemicals, and Mental Retardation: Proceedings of a National Wingspread Summit. *http://www.ehinitiative.org/pdf/Toxic_Chemical_MR.pdf*

19 USEPA Office of Inspector General Evaluation Report no. 2006-P-00009, Jan 10, 2006. Opportunities to Improve Data Quality and Children's Health through the Food Quality Protection Act. *http://www.epa.gov/oig/reports/2006/20060110-2006-P-00009.pdf*

20 Pesticides; Data Requirements for Conventional Chemicals. Federal Register: October 26, 2007 (Volume 72, Number 207)] [Rules and Regulations] [Page 60933-60988] From the Federal Register Online via GPO Access [*wais.access.gpo.gov*] [DOCID: fr26oc07-14] [[Page 60934]]

21 Directive 98/8/EC. Published in the Official Journal of the European Communities, *http://ec.europa.eu/environment/biocides/pdf/dir_98_8_biocides.pdf*

22 California Department of Pesticide Regulation. *http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/pur/purmain.htm*

23 *http://www.oregon.gov/ODA/PEST/docs/pdf/purs_brochure.pdf*

24 Task Force member Mark Dobrovolny, Director of Product Supply for Tom's of Maine

25 Task Force member Stacie Beyer Corporate Environmental Manager for InterfaceFABRIC, Inc. (formerly Interface, Inc).

26 Mark Catlin Industrial Hygienist and Haz Mat Project Coordinator for the Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Education and Support Fund. Mr. Catlin is engaged in training workers throughout the U.S. on Hazardous Materials issues.

27 29 CFR 1910.1200 (g)(2)(i)(C)(1)

28 Maine Department of Labor, Center for Workforce Research and Information, Share of Average Annual Nonfarm Wage & Salary Employment by Industry in Maine, 1996-2006

29 See, e.g., for lead: Lanphear, B.P., R. Hornung, J. Khoury, K. Yolton, P. Baghurst, D.C. Bellinger, R.L. Canfield, K.N. Dietrich, R. Bornschein, T. Greene, S.J. Rothenberg, H.L. Needleman, L. Schnaas, G. Wasserman, J. Graziano, and R. Roberts. 2005. Low-level environmental lead exposure and children's intellectual function: An international pooled analysis. Environmental Health Perspectives 113:894-899; Koller, K., T. Brown, A. Spurgeon, and L.Levy. 2004. Recent developments in low-level lead exposure and intellectual impairment in children. Environmental Health Perspectives 112:987-994. For mercury: National Research Council. 2000. Toxicological Effects of Methylmercury. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. Murata, K., P. Weihe, E. Budtz-Jørgensen, P.J. Jørgensen, and P.Grandjean. 2004. Delayed brainstem auditory evoked potential latencies in 14-year-old children exposed to methylmercury. The Journal of Pediatrics 144:177-183; Schober, S.E., T.H. Sinks, R.L. Jones, M.P. Bolger, M. McDowell, J. Osterloh, E.S. Garrett, R.A. Canady, C.F. Dillon, Y. Sun, C.B. Joseph, and K. Mahaffey. 2003. Blood mercury levels in U.S. children and women of childbearing age, 1999-2000. Journal of the American Medical Association 289:1667-1674; Mahaffey, K. 2004. Methylmercury: Epidemiological Update, report presented at the 2004 Fish Forum, San Diego, CA. Washington, D.C.: Environmental Protection Agency; Maine Bureau of Health. 2000. Warning about eating freshwater fish. Augusta, ME: Environmental Toxicology Program, Maine Bureau of Health, Revised August 29, 2000. *http://www.maine.gov/dhhs/eohp/fish/2KFCA.htm* Accessed on May 7, 2007; see generally, Lippman M. Environmental Toxicants: human exposures and their health effects. New York: Wiley Interscience, 2000.

30 In May, 2007, the Scientific Committee of the International Conference on Fetal Programming and Developmental Toxicity issued the following recommendation: "The accumulated research evidence suggests that prevention efforts against toxic exposures to environmental chemicals should focus on protecting the fetus and small child as highly vulnerable populations. Given the ubiquitous exposure to many environmental toxicants, there needs to be renewed efforts to prevent harm. Such prevention should not await detailed evidence on individual hazards to be produced, because the delays in decision-making would then lead to propagation of toxic exposures and their long-term consequences." *http://www.pptox.dk/Consensus/tabid/72/Default.aspx*

31 Cal. Report, p. 25.

32 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Third National Report on Human Exposures to Environmental Chemicals. Atlanta (GA):

CDC, 2005. *www.cdc.gov/exposurereport*

33 Landrigan, P., C. Schecter, J. Lipton, M. Fahs, J. Schwartz. 2002. Environmental Pollutants and Disease in American Children: Estimates of Morbidity, Mortality, and Costs for Lead Poisoning, Asthma, Cancer, and Developmental Disabilities. Environmental Health Perspectives 110:720-728.

34 U.S. EPA. Report on America's Children and the Environment. *http://www.epa.gov/envirohealth/children/highlights/index.htm*

35 U.S. EPA Report on America's Children and the Environment: A First View of Available Measures. *http://yosemite.epa.gov/ochp/ochpweb.nsf/content/download.htm*, at p. 56 (footnotes omitted).

36 Ibid. p. 50.

37 National Academy of Sciences. Clearing the Air: Asthma and Indoor Air Exposures. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press, 2000.

38 Sherriff A, Farrow A, Golding J, Henderson J. Frequent use of chemical household products is associated with persistent wheezing in pre-school age children. Thorax 60:45-49 (2005).

39 Maine Asthma Control & Prevention Program, *http://www.maine.gov/dhhs/bohdcfh/mat/index.html*.

40 Grandjean, P., Landrigan, P. Developmental neurotoxicity of industrial chemicals. The Lancet, November 8, 2006, *www.thelancet.com*.

41 *http://archive.ewg.org/reports/bodyburden2*

42 Kenneth Cook, President and Co-founder of the Environmental Working Group, February 7, 2007 presentation to the Task Force

43 *http://www.cleanandhealthyme.org/PollutioninMainePeople/tabid/55/Default.aspx*

44 Maine Cancer Consortium, Maine Responds to Cancer, January, 2001; Maine Cancer Plan, 2006-2010, *http://www.mainecancerconsortium.org/welcome.html*

45 Harris W.J., Jain P. Special Education in Maine, Maine Education Policy Research Institute, University of Maine, August, 2002. *http://libraries.maine.edu/cre/White_Paper/082602/White_Paper_Spec_Ed_082602.htm*

46 Wang L, Zhong Y, Wheeler L. Direct and Indirect Costs of Asthma in School-Age Children, CDC Preventing Chronic Disease: January 2005: 04_0053, *http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2005/jan/04_0053.htm*.

47 Schwartz, J. (1994) Societal benefits of reducing lead exposure. *Environ Res* 66:105-124.

48 Grosse, S.D., Matte, T.D., Schwartz, J., and Jackson, R.J. (2002) Economic gains resulting from the reduction in children's exposure to lead in the United States. *Environ Health Perspect* 110:563-569.

49 Trasand, L., Landrigan, P.J., and Schechter, C. (2005) Public health and economic consequences of methyl mercury toxicity to the developing brain. *Environ Health Perspect* 113:590-596.

50 Trasande, L., Schechter, C.B., Haynes, K.A., and Landrigan, P.J. (2006) Mental retardation and prenatal methylmercury toxicity. *Am J Ind Med* 49:153-158.

51 Ken Geiser, PhD Lowell Center for Sustainable Production, September 29 2006 presentation to the Task Force.

52 Dennison, Richard A. 2007, *High Hopes, Low Marks A final Report Card on the high Production volume Chemical Challenge,* Environmental Defense.

53 *http://www.epa.gov/hpv/index.htm*

54 USEPA News Release Washington, D.C. August 21, 2007, U.S. Canada and Mexico Take Lead to manage Industrial Chemicals

55 From 1990-2004, under the Massachusetts TURA program, toxic chemical use declined by 41%, toxic byproducts (waste) was reduced by 65% and toxic chemicals shipped in products went down by 58%. Among businesses affected by the Massachusetts TURA, 70% of firms identified toxics use reduction options and 81% of those reported implementing at least some of them. Two-thirds of firms reported cost savings and health & safety benefits. From 1990-1997 the reported costs of the program were $77 million and the monetized benefits were $91 million (not including benefits to human health, ecology and non-TURA firms).

56 Toxics Use Reduction Institute, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Five Chemicals Alternatives Assessment Study, June 2006, *www.turi.org*.

57 *http://www.chemicalsubstanceschimiques.gc.ca/categor/index_e.html*

58 The new international chemicals policies include the UN Rotterdam Convention on Prior Informed Consent, UN Stockholm Convention on the Elimination of Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs), IOMC's "Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labeling of Hazardous Chemicals" (GHS), and the United Nations Environment Program's SAICM (Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management). Other new European Union (EU) chemicals policies in addition to REACH are the End of Life Vehicle Directive, Waste from Electronic and Electrical Equipment (WEEE) Directive and the Restriction on Hazardous Substances (RoHS) Directive.

59 European Commission REACH website *http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/reach/reach_intro.htm*

60 European Commission REACH website *http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/reach/reach_intro.htm*

http://www.chemicalspolicy.org/, which includes comprehensive resources on REACH and related chemicals policy initiatives.

61 See the University of Massachusetts at Lowell's Center for Green Chemistry, *http://www.greenchemistry.uml.edu/html/ClickablePrinciplesproject_files/frame.htm*, for an expression of the Twelve Principles of Green Chemistry.

62 Presentation by Michael Bilodeau, Associate Director of Forest Bioproducts Research and Director of the Process Development Center at University of Maine at Orono.

63 Presentation on initiative and a Seed Grant Proposal submitted to the Maine Technology Institute by Stacie Beyer, Corporate Environmental Manager for InterfaceFABRIC, Inc, (formerly Interface, Inc). *http://www.umaine.edu/mcsc/reports/potatoesRpt.pdf*

64 Environmental Defense–DuPont Nano Partnership (June 2007), NANO Risk Framework

65 Breggin, L.K., and J.Pendergrass (July 2007), Where Does the Nano Go?

66 Vendors must also meet the following energy efficiency guidelines: lighting, wherever possible, must meet Energy Star lower energy consumption standards; vendors must ship all products with the Energy Star low-power feature activated or enabled; if the product is shipped without the Energy Star low-power feature activated or enabled or without the Energy Star seal, it must include the manufacturer's certification specifying the product is Energy Star compliant.

67 Robert A. Root 2004 Lead Loading of Urban Streets by Motor Vehicle Wheel Weights

68 Platts-Mills, T.A.E. 1994. How environment affects patients with allergic disease: indoor allergens and asthma. Ann Allergy 72: 381-384.

69 Perera FP, et al. 2003. Effects of transplacental exposure to environmental pollutants on birth outcomes in a multiethnic population. Environ Health Perspect., 111:201–206.

70 Schal, C. and R.L. Hamilton, 1990. Integrated suppression of synanthropic cockroaches. Ann. Rev. Entomol. V. 35: 521-551

71 Rosenstreich DL, Eggleston P, Kattan M, Baker D, Slavin RG, Gergen P, et al. The role of cockroach allergy and exposure to cockroach allergen in causing morbidity among inner-city children with asthma. N Engl J Med. 1997;336:1356–1363.

72 Sporik, R., et. al. 1990. Exposure to house-dust mite allergen (Der p I) and the development of asthma in childhood. A prospective Study. N Engl. J med 323: 502-507.

73 Ash, N., and B. Greenburg. 1980. Vector potential of the German cockroach in dissemination of Salmonella enteritidis serotype typhimurium. J. Med. Entomol. 17: 417-23.

74 Michael J. Turell, Monica L. O'Guinn, David J. Dohm, James W. Jones. 2001. Vector Competence of North American Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) for West Nile Virus. Journal of Medical Entomology 38:130-134

75 Steere, Allen, C. 1991. Lyme Disease. NE J Med Volume 345:115-125

76 Childs, J. E. et al. 1994. Serologic and genetic identification of Peromyscus maniculatus as the primary rodent reservoir for a new hantavirus in the southwestern United States. J. Infect. Disease. 169(6):1271-80

77 Whitemore, R.W., et al. 1994. Non-occupational exposures to pesticides for residents of two US cities. Arch. Environ. Contam Toxicol 26: 47-59.

78 Whyatt R. M., et al. Residential pesticide use during pregnancy among a cohort of urban minority women. Environ Health Perspect. 2002; 110:507–514.

79 Perera F. P., et al. 2003. Effects of transplacental exposure to environmental pollutants on birth outcomes in a multiethnic population. Environ Health Perspect., 111:201–206.

80 Michael C. R., et. al.. 2003. Use of agricultural pesticides and prostate cancer risk in the agricultural health study cohort. *Am J Epidemiol*.; 157:800-814

81 Eskenazi, B., A. Bradman, R. Castorina. 1999. Exposures of children to organophosphate pesticides and their potential adverse health effects. Environ. Health Perspective. v. 107 Suppl 3:409-19

82 Larson, SJ, Capel, PD, Majewski, MS. 1997. Pesticides in surface waters. Distribution, trends, and governing factors. Ann Arbor Press, Chelsea, MI.

83 U.S.G.S. Pesticides in the Nation's Streams and Ground Water, 1992-2001Circular 1291(2006) Robert j. Gilliom, Jack E. Barbash, Charles G. Crawford, Pixie A. Hamilton, Jeffrey D. Martin, Naomi Nakagaki, Lisa H. Nowell, Jonathan C. Scott, Paul E Stackelberg, Gail P. Thelin, and David M. Wolock

84 Landrigan, P. J., et. al. 1999. Pesticides and Inner-City Children: Exposures, Risks, and Prevention. Environ Health Perspectives Suppl. 107 (S3): 431-437

85 Presentation by Dr. Kathy Murray, Entomologist Maine Department of Agriculture and Jeremy Caron Special Assistant to the Commissioner of Maine Department of Administrative and Financial Services.

86 Definition of IPM Maine Statutes Title 7, Chapter 413, INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT (heading: PL 1991, c.609, s2)

87 Ending Toxic Dependency: The State of IPM, A Beyond Pesticides Report, 2007 *http://www.beyondpesticides.org/stateipm/stateipmreport/index.htm*

88 By "vulnerable populations" we mean those groups of people who are most susceptible to the effects of toxic chemicals, including for example the developing fetus, children, the elderly, people whose health has already been compromised by disease and people with specific genetic susceptibility.

89 By "inherent properties of concern" we mean the physical, chemical, biological and other attributes that enable a chemical to exhibit human toxicity, ecotoxicity, persistence in the environment or bioaccumulation or to form breakdown products, i.e. to transform into other chemicals of potential concern through degradation, metabolism or combustion or by other means.

90 PBTs are persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic chemicals; vPvBs are very persistent and very bioaccumulative chemicals without regard to evidence of toxicity.

91 State of Washington. 2006. Chapter 173-333 WAC - Persistent Bioaccumulative Toxins (*http://www.ecy.wa.gov/pubs/wac173333.pdf*—accessed November 15, 2007).

92 U.S. Environmental Protection. 1999. Federal Register, Part VII, 40 CFR Part 372 Persistent Bioaccumulative Toxic (PBT) Chemicals; Final Rule, Vol. 64, No. 209, Friday, October 29, 1999.

93 European Union. 2006. Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) (*http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexiUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2006:396:0001:0849:EN:PDF*—accessed November 15, 2007).

94 United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. 2005. Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labeling of Chemicals (GHS). (*http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_rev01/01files_e.html*—accessed November 15, 2007).

95 State of California, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. 2006. *Chemicals Known to the State to Cause Cancer or Reproductive Toxicity*. (*http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65_list/files/060906p65single.pdf*—accessed November 15, 2007).

96 World Health Organization, International Agency for Research on Cancer. 2006. *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans: Preamble* (*http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/index.php*—accessed November 15, 2007).

97 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2005. *Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment* (*http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=116283*—accessed November 15, 2007).

98 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Toxicology Program. 2005. *Report on Carcinogens, Eleventh Edition* (*http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/roc/toc11.html*—accessed November 15, 2007).

99 European Union. 2004. CONSLEG: 1976L0769 – 16/03/2004, Council Directive of 27 July 1976 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to restrictions on the marketing and use of certain dangerous substances and preparations (76/769/EEC), 16 March 2004 (*http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/chemicals/legislation/markrestr/consolid_1976L0769_en.pdf*—accessed November 15, 2007).

100 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Toxicology Program, Center for the Evaluation of Risks to Human Reproduction (CERHR). CERHR Chemicals. (*http:// http://cerhr.niehs.nih.gov/chemicals/index.html*—accessed November 15, 2007).

101 BKH Consulting (prepared for the European Commission Directorate-General Environment). 2000. Towards the Establishment of a Priority List of Substances for Further Evaluation of their Role in Endocrine Disruption—Preparation of a Candidate List of Substances as a Basis for Priority Setting (M0355008/1786Q/10/11/00), June 2000 (*http://ec.europa.eu/environment/docum/pdf/bkh_main.pdf*—accessed November 15, 2007).

102 Japan Ministry of Environment. 1998. Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals Database, Table of Chemicals Suspected of Having Endocrine Disrupting Effects (*http://w-edcdb.nies.go.jp/HPEF/sp_Table3.html*—accessed November 15, 2007).

103 Grandjean, P. and Landrigan, P. Developmental neurotoxicity of industrial chemicals. *The Lancet*. 2006. 368: 2167-2178. December 16, 2006. (*http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140673606696657/abstract*—accessed November 15, 2007).

VIII. Glossary of Acronyms

BGS	Maine Bureau of General Services
CDC	U.S.Centers for Disease Control
CFC	Chlorofluorocarbons
CMR	Carcinogens, Mutagens and Reproductive toxins
DAFS	Maine Department of Administrative and Financial Services
DECD	Maine Department of Economic and Community Development
DEP	Maine Department of Environmental Protection
DNT	Developmental Neurotoxicity Testing
DOT	Maine Department of Transportation
EPEAT	Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool
EPP	Environmentally Preferable Purchasing
EU	European Union
EWG	Environmental Working Group
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
FQPA	Food Quality Protection Act
GAO	U.S.Government Accountability Office
GCPSP	Green Chemistry Program for Sustainable Production
GHS	Globally Harmonized System
GS	Green Seal
HCS	Hazard Communication Standard
IPM	Integrated Pest Management
LEED-EB	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design standards for Existing Buildings
MEIF	Maine Economic Incentive Fund
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Act
PAH	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PBDE	Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers
PBT's	Persistent, Bioaccumulative Toxins
PCB	PolyChlorinated Biphenyls
PLA	Polylactic Acid
PMN	Pre Market Notification
PMD	Maine Bureau of General Services Property Management Division
R&D	Research and Development
REACH	European Union Registration, Evaluation and Authorization of Chemicals
REDs	Registration Eligibility Decisions
RFQ	Request for Quotations
SEIU	Service Employees International Union
SAICM	Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management
TURA	Toxics Use Reduction Acts
ToSCA	Toxic Substances and Control Act
USEPA	Environmental Protection Agency
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
vPvB	Very Persistent, very Bioaccumulative